1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
2	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
3	SAN JOSE DIVISION		
4			
5	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) CR-18-00258-EJD &) CV-18-01603-EJD		
6	PLAINTIFF,))		
7	VS.) SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA)		
8	ELIZABETH A. HOLMES AND RAMESH) APRIL 22, 2019 SUNNY BALWANI,)		
9) PAGES 1 - 27 DEFENDANTS.)		
10			
11	SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE) COMMISSION,)		
12	PLAINTIFF,)		
13	VS.		
14	RAMESH SUNNY BALWANI,)		
15	DEFENDANTS.)		
16			
17	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE HONORABLE EDWARD J. DAVILA		
18	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE APPEARANCES:		
19			
20	FOR THE PLAINTIFF: UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE BY: JOHN BOSTIC		
21	ROBERT LEACH JEFFREY SCHENK 150 ALMADEN BOLLEVARD CHIEF 000		
22	150 ALMADEN BOULEVARD, SUITE 900 SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA 95113		
23	OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER:		
24	IRENE L. RODRIGUEZ, CSR, RMR, CRR CERTIFICATE NUMBER 8074		
25	PROCEEDINGS RECORDED BY MECHANICAL STENOGRAPHY TRANSCRIPT PRODUCED WITH COMPUTER		

1		
2	APPEARANCES:	(CONT'D)
3	FOR DEFENDANT HOLMES:	WILLIAMS & CONNOLLY LLP BY: KEVIN M. DOWNEY
4		LANCE WADE
5		725 TWELFTH STREET, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005
6		LAW OFFICE OF JOHN D. CLINE BY: JOHN D. CLINE
7		ONE EMBARCADERO CENTER, SUITE 500 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94111
8		·
9	FOR DEFENDANT BALWANT:	DAVIS, WRIGHT & TREMAINE LLP BY: JEFFREY COOPERSMITH STEPHEN CAZARES
10		KELLY M. GORTON
11		505 MONTGOMERY STREET, SUITE 800 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94111
12	FOR THE S.E.C.:	UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
13		BY: SUSAN F. LAMARCA RAHUL KOLHATKAR
14		44 MONTGOMERY STREET, SUITE 2600 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94104
15		SAN FIGURESCO, CALIFORNIA STICT
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

	1	SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA	APRIL 22, 2019
	2	PROC	E E D I N G S
	3	(COURT CONVENED AT 1:36	P.M.)
01:36PM	4	THE COURT: WELL,	LET'S NOW TURN TO 18-258, UNITED
01:36PM	5	STATES VERSUS ELIZABETH HOLM	ES, RAMESH SUNNY BALWANI, AND WE'LL
01:36PM	6	CALL COMPANION CASE 18-1603,	S.E.C. VERSUS BALWANI.
01:36PM	7	IF THOSE PARTIES COULD (COME FORWARD, PLEASE.
01:36PM	8	MR. BOSTIC: GOOD	AFTERNOON, YOUR HONOR.
01:36PM	9	JOHN BOSTIC, JEFF SCHENK, ANI	D BOB LEACH FOR THE UNITED STATES.
01:36PM	10	THE COURT: GOOD A	FTERNOON. LET ME CAPTURE YOUR
01:37PM	11	COLLEAGUES.	
01:37PM	12	MS. LAMARCA: SUSA	N LAMARCA AND RAHUL KOLHATKAR FOR
01:37PM	13	THE S.E.C.	
01:37PM	14	THE COURT: THANK	YOU.
01:37PM	15	MR. DOWNEY: GOOD	AFTERNOON, YOUR HONOR.
01:37PM	16	KEVIN DOWNEY AND LANCE WADE I	FOR ELIZABETH HOLMES WHO'S PRESENT
01:37PM	17	IN COURT AS WELL.	
01:37PM	18	THE COURT: THANK	YOU. GOOD AFTERNOON.
01:37PM	19	MR. COOPERSMITH:	GOOD AFTERNOON, YOUR HONOR.
01:37PM	20	JEFF COOPERSMITH FOR RAMESH I	BALWANI WHO IS TO MY RIGHT AND ALSO
01:37PM	21	KELLY GORTON AND STEVE CAZARI	ES FROM MY OFFICE.
01:37PM	22	THE COURT: GOOD A	FTERNOON. THIS IS ON FOR FURTHER
01:37PM	23	STATUS. I HAVE READ AND REV	IEWED YOUR DOCUMENT 66, AND WE'RE
01:37PM	24	CALLING THE CRIMINAL CASE FIR	RST, AND THEN WE'LL TURN TO THE
01:37PM	25	S.E.C. CASE IN JUST A MOMENT	

1 01:37PM 2 01:37PM 3 01:37PM 01:37PM 4 01:37PM 01:37PM 6 01:37PM 7 01:38PM 8 01:38PM 9 01:38PM 10 01:38PM 11 01:38PM 12 01:38PM 13 01:38PM 14 01:38PM 15 01:38PM 16 01:38PM 17 01:38PM 18 01:38PM 19 01:38PM 20 01:38PM 21 01:38PM 22 01:38PM 23 01:38PM 24 01:38PM 25

I HAVE READ THE JOINT MEMORANDUM. THANK YOU FOR THAT.

I SUPPOSE I SHOULD GET UPDATED FROM COUNSEL. IT LOOKS
LIKE THERE'S PROBABLY TWO MOTIONS THAT WILL BE PENDING IF NOT
PENDING CURRENTLY, AND I'D LIKE TO DISCUSS THAT. I HAD SOME
THOUGHTS ALSO ABOUT TRIAL DATES, AND WE SHOULD PROBABLY BE
THINKING ABOUT AND LOOKING AT TRIAL DATES ONGOING.

SO, COUNSEL, WHO WOULD LIKE TO GO FIRST? MR. BOSTIC.

MR. BOSTIC: SO, YOUR HONOR, ON THE TWO PENDING MOTIONS MY COLLEAGUE, MR. LEACH, WILL ADDRESS THE MOTION TO STAY WHEN WE DISCUSS THAT.

ON DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO COMPEL DISCOVERY PRODUCTION FROM
THE GOVERNMENT, AS THE COURT KNOWS FROM THE JOINT STATUS
SUBMISSION, THE GOVERNMENT HAS PRODUCED APPROXIMATELY 20
MILLION PAGES ALREADY. THE ADDITIONAL CATEGORIES OF DOCUMENTS
ADDRESSED IN THE PENDING MOTION ARE MOSTLY HELD IN THE HANDS OF
GOVERNMENT AGENCIES LIKE THE FDA AND THE CMS.

IN ORDER TO RESPOND TO THAT MOTION, THE GOVERNMENT
BELIEVES THAT IT NEEDS TO COLLECT SOME ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
FROM THOSE AGENCIES.

I INFORMED COUNSEL FOR MS. HOLMES JUST BEFORE THIS HEARING
THAT THE LAWYERS THAT I'VE BEEN DEALING WITH AT CMS AND FDA ARE
OUT OF TOWN OR RECENTLY OUT OF TOWN AND I BELIEVE OUT OF THE
COUNTRY.

ONE REPRESENTING CMS RETURNS FROM BEING ON LEAVE I BELIEVE
ON MAY 1ST OR 2ND. I THINK IN ORDER TO PRESENT THE COURT WITH

01:39PM	1	THE FACTS THAT IT NEEDS TO RULE ON THAT MOTION, I DO NEED TO
01:39PM	2	CONSULT WITH THOSE ATTORNEYS. THE GOVERNMENT COUNSEL IN THIS
01:39PM	3	CASE DOES NOT REPRESENT THOSE AGENCIES AND WILL NOT REPRESENT
01:39PM	4	THOSE AGENCIES FOR PURPOSES OF THIS CASE. SO IN ORDER TO GET
01:39PM	5	THAT INFORMATION FOR THE COURT, I WOULD LIKE A CHANCE TO
01:39PM	6	CONSULT WITH THOSE LAWYERS. SO I WOULD JUST ASK THAT IN
01:39PM	7	SETTING A BRIEFING AND HEARING SCHEDULE FOR THAT MOTION WE
01:39PM	8	ACCOUNT FOR THAT.
01:39PM	9	THE COURT: OKAY. HAVE YOU MET AND CONFERRED ABOUT
01:39PM	10	THAT?
01:39PM	11	MR. DOWNEY: YOUR HONOR, WE DISCUSSED IT. OUR
01:39PM	12	REFLECTION ON MR. BOSTIC'S REQUEST WAS OF COURSE WE WANT TO
01:39PM	13	ACCOMMODATE HIM UNDERTAKING A REASONABLE PROCESS SO THE MOTION
01:39PM	14	CAN BE BRIEFED.
01:39PM	15	WE'RE CONCERNED ABOUT THE PACE OF THE PRODUCTION OF
01:39PM	16	DISCOVERY. THERE'S NO
01:39PM	17	THE COURT: IT'S ONLY MILLIONS OF PAGES.
01:39PM	18	MR. DOWNEY: YEAH, MILLIONS OF PAGES HAVE ALREADY
01:39PM	19	BEEN PRODUCED. I GATHER MILLIONS MORE ARE TO BE PRODUCED.
01:39PM	20	SO WE DON'T OPPOSE THAT. WE JUST IN THE CONTEXT OF A
01:39PM	21	BROADER DISCUSSION ABOUT WHEN IT'S REALISTIC TO SET A TRIAL
01:39PM	22	DATE.
01:39PM	23	THE COURT: SURE.
01:39PM	24	MR. DOWNEY: SO ASSUMING THAT WE HAVE, YOU KNOW, AN
01:40PM	25	OPPORTUNITY, IF WE PREVAIL ON THE MOTION, AN ADEQUATE

1 01:40PM 2 01:40PM 3 01:40PM 01:40PM 4 01:40PM 01:40PM 01:40PM 7 8 01:40PM 01:40PM 9 01:40PM 10 01:40PM 11 01:40PM 12 01:40PM 13 01:40PM 14 01:40PM 15 01:40PM 16 01:40PM 17 01:41PM 18 01:41PM 19 01:41PM 20 01:41PM 21 01:41PM 22 01:41PM 23 01:41PM 24 01:41PM 25

OPPORTUNITY TO REVIEW WHATEVER IS PRODUCED, THAT'S FINE WITH US. WE'RE JUST CONCERNED BECAUSE THE AMOUNT OF TIME, LEAD TIME THAT THE GOVERNMENT PROPOSED IN THE JOINT STATUS MEMORANDUM SOUNDS LIKE A FAIR AMOUNT OF TIME, BUT GIVEN THE VOLUME OF MATERIAL IT'S REALLY NOT.

SO OUR CONCERN ABOUT THAT IS NOT ACCOMMODATING MR. BOSTIC, WHICH WE'RE HAPPY TO DO, IT'S JUST ABOUT THE BROADER SET OF ISSUES.

THE COURT: SURE. WELL, THANK YOU.

MY SENSE, AND IT'S PROBABLY YOUR EXPERIENCE ALSO, WITH
THESE TYPES OF MOTIONS TYPICALLY THERE'S ADDITIONAL MEET AND
CONFERS. SOMETIMES THERE'S RESOLUTION REGARDING WHAT CAN BE
OBTAINED AND WHAT IS OBTAINED. SOMETIMES THE INFORMATION, WHEN
THE GOVERNMENT IS IN THEIR SITUATION THAT THEY'RE IN NOW, WHEN
THEY MEET WITH THE OTHER INDIVIDUALS THEY'RE ABLE TO SECURE
ADDITIONAL INFORMATION THAT MIGHT NOT CURE THE ENTIRETY OF THE
DEFECTS AS YOU SEE IT NOW BUT AT LEAST GO A LONG WAY TOWARDS
THAT.

MR. BOSTIC AND MR. SCHENK, IF WHAT I'M HEARING IS THAT YOU NEED TO DISCUSS OR AT LEAST TALK WITH OTHER AGENCIES REGARDING OTHER DISCOVERY MATTERS THAT MIGHT BE USEFUL TO THAT CONVERSATION, THAT'S WHAT I'M HEARING, THEN I THINK THAT MAYBE WE CAN FIND SOME ACCORD WHERE I DON'T KNOW IF WE NEED AN ADDITIONAL STATUS TO DETERMINE A DATE OR IF I GIVE YOU DATES NOW. I HATE TO DO THAT NOW AND BLIND THEM NOT KNOWING WHAT IS

01:41PM	1	IN STORE FOR YOUR CONVERSATIONS.
01:41PM	2	MR. BOSTIC: YOUR HONOR, JUST TO BE CLEAR, I THINK
01:41PM	3	THAT IS PART OF THE OBJECTIVE OF THOSE ADDITIONAL CONVERSATIONS
01:41PM	4	WITH AGENCY COUNSEL TO SEE WHETHER THERE'S SOME MIDDLE GROUND,
01:41PM	5	SOME ABILITY TO COMPROMISE.
01:41PM	6	BUT IN ADDITION, I BELIEVE THAT THE DEFENSE MOTION WILL
01:41PM	7	TURN AT LEAST PARTLY OR IN LARGE PART ON WHETHER THE
01:41PM	8	GOVERNMENT, WHETHER THE PROSECUTION IS DEEMED TO HAVE ACCESS TO
01:41PM	9	THE DOCUMENTS IN THE POSSESSION OF THESE AGENCIES.
01:41PM	10	SO IT'S REALLY TO EXPLAIN THOSE RELEVANT FACTS TO THE
01:42PM	11	COURT TO DETERMINE TO WHAT EXTENT DO WE HAVE ACCESS TO THOSE
01:42PM	12	DOCUMENTS.
01:42PM	13	THE COURT: SURE. YOU CAN'T HAVE THAT CONVERSATION
01:42PM	14	UNTIL THE FIRST PART OF MAY IT SOUNDS LIKE.
01:42PM	15	MR. BOSTIC: CORRECT, YOUR HONOR, AT LEAST WE
01:42PM	16	CANNOT AT LEAST AS TO CMS WE CANNOT FINISH THAT CONVERSATION
01:42PM	17	UNTIL THEN.
01:42PM	18	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. DO YOU HAVE SUGGESTIONS
01:42PM	19	ABOUT WHAT WE SHOULD DO?
01:42PM	20	MR. DOWNEY: YOUR HONOR, I THINK MY SUGGESTION WOULD
01:42PM	21	BE THAT WE FILE AN UPDATE WITH THE COURT PERHAPS AT THE END OF
01:42PM	22	THE WEEK FOLLOWING THE WEEK WHEN MR. BOSTIC ANTICIPATES THAT
01:42PM	23	HE'LL HAVE THOSE CONVERSATIONS.
01:42PM	24	MY CONCERN IS ONLY THIS, I ANTICIPATE THAT THE AGENCIES
01:42PM	25	PROBABLY WILL PRODUCE SOME ADDITIONAL AMOUNT OF MATERIAL. IT'S

01:42PM	1	CLEAR TO US FROM OUR REVIEW OF WHAT HAS BEEN PRODUCED THUS FAR
01:42PM	2	THAT THERE'S A GOOD BIT OF ADDITIONAL MATERIAL THAT IS PROBABLY
01:42PM	3	MATERIAL TO OUR PREPARATION AND IN MANY INSTANCES EXCULPATORY.
01:42PM	4	IT MAY BE PREFERABLE ULTIMATELY FOR THE AGENCIES TO
01:42PM	5	CONDUCT THEIR THOUGHT PROCESS ON THEIR REVIEW RECOGNIZING THAT
01:42PM	6	THEY'RE GOING TO BE OBLIGATED TO PRODUCE DOCUMENTS WHICH MEET
01:43PM	7	THOSE STANDARDS.
01:43PM	8	SO I DON'T WANT TO FORESHADOW WHAT THEIR CONSULTATION
01:43PM	9	PROCESS YIELDS, BUT I THINK WE SHOULD REPORT BACK AT THE END OF
01:43PM	10	THE WEEK AFTER THE WEEK THAT MR. BOSTIC CONSULTS WITH HIS
01:43PM	11	COLLEAGUES AND OTHER AGENCIES, BUT WE MAY AT THAT TIME FIND
01:43PM	12	WE'RE NOT MAKING SUFFICIENT PROGRESS, THAT WE WOULD LIKE THE
01:43PM	13	MOTION TO BE HEARD.
01:43PM	14	BUT I DON'T WANT TO FOREJUDGE THAT, YOUR HONOR. WE CAN
01:43PM	15	SEE.
01:43PM	16	THE COURT: WELL, THANK YOU. THE ISSUE BEFORE THE
01:43PM	17	COURT NOW IS TO DETERMINE A BRIEFING SCHEDULE
01:43PM	18	MR. DOWNEY: YES.
01:43PM	19	THE COURT: AS TO WHEN THE GOVERNMENT SHOULD FILE
01:43PM	20	THEIR REPLY AND OPPOSITION.
01:43PM	21	MR. DOWNEY: UNDERSTOOD. AND I THINK WE CAN DEFER
01:43PM	22	THE OPPOSITION AT LEAST UNTIL WE FILE THAT MEMORANDUM AND UNTIL
01:43PM	23	WE FILE THAT JOINT UPDATE.
01:43PM	24	THE COURT: SURE.
01:43PM	25	MR. DOWNEY: AND IF, IN FACT, AT THAT TIME IT'S

NECESSARY FOR THE GOVERNMENT TO CONTINUE AND GO AHEAD AND BRIEF 1 01:43PM 2 IT, WE'LL DO IT THEN. 01:43PM MR. BOSTIC: YOUR HONOR, NO OBJECTION TO THAT 3 01:43PM 01:43PM 4 APPROACH FROM THE GOVERNMENT. THE COURT: OKAY. LET ME ASK, IS THERE ANY 01:43PM 01:43PM 6 CONNECTION BETWEEN -- LET'S TURN TO THE S.E.C. CASE FOR JUST A 01:44PM 7 MOMENT. I UNDERSTAND THE GOVERNMENT HAS FILED A MOTION TO 01:44PM 8 INTERVENE IN THE CRIMINAL CASE. I'M JUST CURIOUS WHETHER 01:44PM 9 01:44PM 10 THERE'S SOME CROSS-POLLINIZATION BETWEEN THESE TWO THINGS. MR. LEACH: YES, YOUR HONOR. MR. LEACH FOR THE 01:44PM 11 GOVERNMENT. I DON'T THINK THERE IS. THE DISCOVERY THAT 01:44PM 12 MS. HOLMES IS SEEKING ARE DOCUMENTS IN THE POSSESSION OF THE 01:44PM 13 01:44PM 14 FDA AND CMS WHICH THE GOVERNMENT CONTENDS ARE NOT PART OF THE 01:44PM 15 PROSECUTION TEAM AND NOT SUBJECT TO OUR RULE 16 BRADY AND 01:44PM 16 GIGLIO OBLIGATIONS. 01:44PM 17 THE MOTION TO INTERVENE AND STAY IS REALLY DIRECTED AT 01:44PM 18 SOME DISCOVERY THAT MR. BALWANI IS ATTEMPTING TO TAKE IN THE 01:44PM 19 CIVIL CASE RELATING TO DOCTORS AND PATIENTS WHO IN OUR JUDGMENT 01:44PM 20 HAVE NOTHING TO DO WITH THE S.E.C. CASE BUT EVERYTHING TO DO WITH THE CRIMINAL CASE. 01:44PM 21 01:44PM 22 SO WE THINK SOME OF THE RECENT DISCOVERY HAS CROSSED THE 01:44PM 23 LINE IN TERMS OF RELEVANCE OF THE S.E.C. CASE. WE ALSO TOOK A 01:44PM 24 LOOK AT THE KEATING FACTORS IN THE MOST RECENT NINTH CIRCUIT 01:45PM 25 DECISION ON STAYS, AND WE REALLY THINK THAT THE BREADTH OF

1 01:45PM 2 01:45PM 3 01:45PM 01:45PM 4 01:45PM 01:45PM 6 01:45PM 7 01:45PM 8 01:45PM 9 01:45PM 10 01:45PM 11 01:45PM 12 01:45PM 13 01:45PM 14 01:45PM 15 01:45PM 16 01:45PM 17 01:45PM 18 01:46PM 19 01:46PM 20 01:46PM 21 01:46PM 22 01:46PM 23 01:46PM 24 01:46PM 25

CIVIL DISCOVERY IN THE S.E.C. CASE IS DISTRACTING FROM THE PARTIES' ABILITY TO MOVE THE CASE FORWARD AND GET A TRIAL DATE IN THE CRIMINAL CASE.

SO I THINK THOSE ARE -- WE DO NOT TAKE A POSITION ON ANY DISCOVERY MR. BALWANI HAS TAKEN OF THE FDA OR CMS IN THE S.E.C. CASE. IT'S REALLY FOCUSSED ON DOCTORS AND PATIENTS AND THE OVERALL BREADTH OF IT.

MR. COPPERSMITH: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. WE'RE
GOING TO RESPOND TO THE GOVERNMENT'S MOTION TO INTERVENE AND
STAY. OUR OPPOSITION IS DUE TWO WEEKS FROM THIS PAST FRIDAY SO
WE INTEND TO PUT IN A FULL OPPOSITION. WE DON'T AGREE WITH THE
GOVERNMENT THERE SHOULD BE A MOTION, BUT LET ME SAY A FEW
THINGS IN RESPONSE TO MR. LEACH.

THE COURT: SURE.

MR. COPPERSMITH: THAT IS, IT'S BEEN ABOUT A YEAR SINCE THE DISCOVERY COMMENCED IN THE S.E.C. CASE.

JUST LIKE IN THE CRIMINAL CASE, THERE ARE MILLIONS OF PAGES OF DOCUMENTS. AS WE SEE THE S.E.C. CASE, AND WE PUT THIS IN THE STATUS REPORT FOR YOUR HONOR, WE BELIEVE THERE ARE ALLEGATIONS ABOUT THE CAPABILITIES OF THERANOS'S LABORATORIES AND ITS ANALYZER AND IT NOT BEING ACCURATE. WE'VE ACTUALLY TRIED TO PROPOSE SOME THINGS TO THE S.E.C. WE HAVEN'T HEARD BACK. WE THINK IT PUTS THESE MATTERS AT ISSUE.

THE MATTER WITH RESPECT TO THE HEALTH CARE PROVIDERS,

JUDGE COUSINS IS GOING TO HEAR THAT MOTION ON RELEVANCE ON

WEDNESDAY AND JUDGE COUSINS IS GOING TO BE WELL CAPABLE TO 1 01:46PM 2 DECIDING WHAT IS RELEVANT IN THE CASE AND WHAT IS NOT, AND WE 01:46PM THINK IT'S RELEVANT. 3 01:46PM BUT IN ADDITION, THE FACT THAT THE GOVERNMENT WAITED A 01:46PM 4 YEAR TO TRY TO STAY AFTER MR. BALWANI PROVIDED DISCOVERY TO THE 01:46PM S.E.C., HE PROVIDED SWORN INTERROGATORY ANSWERS, HE PROVIDED 01:46PM 01:46PM 7 OTHER DISCOVERY, SAT FOR THREE DAYS FOR TESTIMONY WITH THE S.E.C. BEFORE THE COMPLAINT WAS FILED. 8 01:46PM TO COME IN AND TRY TO STAY AT THIS POINT I THINK IS 01:46PM 9 01:46PM 10 TACTICAL, BUT WE'LL EXPLAIN ALL OF OUR --01:46PM 11 THE COURT: YOU'RE ARGUING THE MOTION. 01:46PM 12 MR. COOPERSMITH: WELL, I MEAN, I'M RESPONDING TO 01:46PM 13 MR. LEACH. THE COURT: JUNE 10TH, I THINK. 01:47PM 14 01:47PM 15 MR. COPPERSMITH: YES, YOUR HONOR. AND I DON'T THINK THAT THAT MOTION SHOULD REALLY AFFECT ANYTHING THAT WE'RE 01:47PM 16 01:47PM 17 DOING TODAY NOTWITHSTANDING MR. LEACH'S COMMENTS. 01:47PM 18 SO ONE OTHER THING THAT MAY BE IS PERTINENT AND THAT IS, 01:47PM 19 THERE IS, AND I THINK WE MENTIONED THIS IN THE STATUS REPORT, 01:47PM 20 TOO, THERE IS AN ISSUE WITH CMS AND FDA ON THE CIVIL SIDE JUST 01:47PM 21 LIKE IN THE CRIMINAL CASE AND THERE WERE SUBPOENAS ISSUED TO 01:47PM 22 THOSE AGENCIES OUT OF THE CIVIL CASE. THE S.E.C. DIDN'T OBJECT 01:47PM 23 TO ANYTHING ABOUT RELEVANCE ON THOSE UNTIL VERY RECENTLY. 01:47PM 24 AFTER SEVEN MONTHS THOSE SUBPOENAS WERE PENDING. 01:47PM 25 WE'VE BEEN CONFERRING, MEETING AND CONFERRING WITH CMS AND

01:47PM	1	FDA AND DOJ COUNSEL WHO REPRESENTS THOSE AGENCIES FOR MANY,
01:47PM	2	MANY MONTHS. WE'RE TRYING TO FRAME THOSE ISSUES FOR THE COURT
01:47PM	3	IF WE CAN'T RESOLVE THEM. OBVIOUSLY, WE HOPE WE CAN RESOLVE
01:47PM	4	THEM. BUT THAT'S SOMETHING THAT WE'VE BEEN STRUGGLING WITH
01:47PM	5	FOR YOU KNOW, SINCE SEPTEMBER SINCE WE ISSUED THOSE
01:47PM	6	SUBPOENAS IS TO ACTUALLY GET THOSE AGENCIES TO PROVIDE
01:47PM	7	DOCUMENTS.
01:48PM	8	THAT IS WHAT IS GOING ON.
01:48PM	9	THE COURT: OKAY. THANK YOU. ANYTHING FURTHER THEN
01:48PM	10	ON THAT? SHOULD WE LEAVE THE JUNE 10TH DATE THEN? IS THAT
01:48PM	11	CONVENIENT?
01:48PM	12	MR. COOPERSMITH: THAT WAS THE DATE AVAILABLE FOR
01:48PM	13	US, SO, YES, YOUR HONOR.
01:48PM	14	MR. LEACH: IT'S CONVENIENT FOR US, YOUR HONOR, IF
01:48PM	15	THE COURT IS AVAILABLE TO GO FORWARD.
01:48PM	16	THE COURT: I THINK THAT'S AT 10:00 A.M.
01:48PM	17	THE CLERK: YES, YOUR HONOR.
01:48PM	18	THE COURT: LET'S LEAVE THAT SET. LET'S RETURN NOW
01:48PM	19	TO THE CRIMINAL CASE.
01:48PM	20	WHAT DO YOU SUGGEST THEN? I UNDERSTAND YOU'LL PERHAPS
01:48PM	21	PROVIDE A MEMO PERHAPS THE WEEK AFTER, MAYBE IT'S THE SECOND
01:48PM	22	WEEK OF MAY OR SOMETHING LIKE THAT, MR. BOSTIC.
01:48PM	23	MR. BOSTIC: THAT'S WHAT I UNDERSTAND FROM DEFENSE'S
01:48PM	24	PROPOSAL, YOUR HONOR, AND THAT MEMO WOULD EITHER SAY THE
01:48PM	25	PARTIES HAVE REACHED THE FOLLOWING AGREEMENT OR ARE MAKING

1 01:48PM 2 01:48PM 3 01:48PM 01:48PM 4 01:48PM 01:49PM 01:49PM 7 01:49PM 8 01:49PM 9 01:49PM 10 01:49PM 11 01:49PM 12 01:49PM 13 01:49PM 14 01:49PM 15 01:49PM 16 01:49PM 17 01:49PM 18 01:49PM 19 01:49PM 20 01:49PM 21 01:49PM 22 01:49PM 23 01:49PM 24 01:49PM 25

PROGRESS TOWARDS REACHING THIS AGREEMENT OR IT WOULD INDICATE
THAT THERE IS NO SUCH AGREEMENT AND THAT THE GOVERNMENT IS
PLANNING TO FILE ITS OPPOSITION. THEN MY HOPE AT THAT TIME
WOULD BE THAT THE PARTIES INCLUDE IN THAT FILING A PROPOSED
BRIEFING AND HEARING SCHEDULE.

IS THAT YOUR UNDERSTANDING?

MR. DOWNEY: THAT'S MY UNDERSTANDING, TOO.

THE COURT: I'LL GIVE YOU AN OPPORTUNITY AND THE

OPPORTUNITY TO CREATE SUCH A SCHEDULE THAT COMPORTS WITH YOUR

CALENDARS. I'M HAPPY TO GIVE THAT TO YOU FOR SUGGESTION TO THE

COURT, AND IF WE CAN ACCOMMODATE IT, WE WILL. I'LL ACCEPT THAT

FOR YOU.

LET'S TALK ABOUT TRIAL FOR JUST A MOMENT. I REALIZE THAT

IT'S GOING TO BE PREMATURE BASED ON THESE PENDING MOTIONS. BUT

WHAT ARE YOUR THOUGHTS, IF YOU HAVE ANY, ABOUT WHEN THE CASE

WOULD PROCEED TO TRIAL, IF IT WERE TO PROCEED TO TRIAL, WHEN

THAT MIGHT BE?

MR. DOWNEY: I THINK FROM THE DEFENSE PERSPECTIVE AT THIS POINT, JUDGE, WE'RE ABOUT THREE MONTHS TOO EARLY TO DISCUSS THAT.

IN ADDITION TO WHAT WE'VE JUST DISCUSSED, THERE ARE A COUPLE OF OTHER STRANDS THAT ARE GOING TO DEFINE, I THINK, THE SCOPE OF WHAT IS AT ISSUE.

ONE IS THAT THERE IS A TAINT TEAM THAT IS IN PLACE FOR PURPOSES OF REVIEW OF CERTAIN DOCUMENTS, AND THERE'S A

PROCEDURE THAT ATTACHES TO THE BACK END OF THAT WHERE THE 1 01:49PM 2 DEFENSE CAN OBJECT IF DOCUMENTS ARE TO BE DISCLOSED TO THE 01:50PM 3 GOVERNMENT. 01:50PM THE DOCUMENTS THAT ARE AT ISSUE THERE I THINK ARE GOING TO 01:50PM 4 BE QUITE MATERIAL TO THE CASE, AND WE DON'T AT THIS POINT KNOW 01:50PM WHAT THE STATUS IS OF THAT REVIEW, WHEN WE'LL GET THOSE 01:50PM 01:50PM 7 DOCUMENTS, ET CETERA, BUT I THINK THAT IS A PROCESS THAT THE GOVERNMENT HAS SAID HAS COMMENCED AND WE WILL, I THINK, 8 01:50PM PRESUMABLY BE IN THAT PROCESS THREE MONTHS FROM NOW AND HAVE A 01:50PM 9 01:50PM 10 BETTER SENSE WITH CLARITY AS TO WHEN WOULD BE AN APPROPRIATE

TRIAL DATE.

THE OTHER PIECE OF IT, WHICH I JUST, FRANKLY, HAVE TO SOME EXTENT THROW MY HANDS UP ON, JUDGE, AND SAY WE HAVE A HUGE AMOUNT OF MATERIAL THAT HAS ALREADY BEEN PRODUCED WHICH I THINK THE GOVERNMENT HAS WORKED DILIGENTLY TO PRODUCE BUT MUCH OF WHICH WE HAVE ONLY RECENTLY GOTTEN. WE'RE TOLD BY THE GOVERNMENT THAT THEY ARE PRODUCING A LARGE ADDITIONAL VOLUME. INDEED, TODAY MR. BOSTIC HAS UNCOVERED THAT FOUR ADDITIONAL TERABYTES OF DATA ARE GOING TO BE DISCLOSED TO US. SO WE WANT TO GET OUR ARMS AROUND WHAT THAT IS, AS DOES HE.

SO I THINK WE'RE THREE MONTHS OUT FROM WRAPPING THOSE STRANDS UP SO THAT WE CAN KNOW WHEN A TRIAL DATE -- WHEN A RANGE FOR A TRIAL DATE WOULD BE APPROPRIATE AND SUGGEST A LEAD TIME TO YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: WELL, THAT COMPORTS WITH WHAT YOU'RE

01:50PM 11

01:50PM 12

01:50PM 13

01:50PM 14

01:50PM 15

01:50PM 16

01:50PM 17

01:50PM 18

01:50PM 19

01:51PM 20

01:51PM 21

01:51PM 22

01:51PM 23

SUGGESTING A JULY DATE FOR A STATUS CONFERENCE. 1 01:51PM 2 MR. DOWNEY: STATUS CONFERENCE, YES. 01:51PM MR. BOSTIC: YOUR HONOR, JUST TO UPDATE THE COURT ON 3 01:51PM 01:51PM 4 THE TWO ISSUES JUST MENTIONED BY DEFENSE COUNSEL. FIRST, ON THE TAINT REVIEW, COUNSEL IS CORRECT THAT THAT 01:51PM 01:51PM 6 PROCESS IS ONGOING. IN FACT, THE GOVERNMENT IS ABOUT TO CLEAR THE FIRST BATCH OF DOCUMENTS FOR REVIEW BY THE DEFENSE. 01:51PM 7 BELIEVE THAT SET OF DOCUMENTS WILL INCLUDE WELL IN EXCESS OF 01:51PM 8 100,000 OUT OF THE APPROXIMATELY 250,000 DOCUMENTS THAT ARE 01:51PM 9 01:51PM 10 UNDERGOING THAT TAINT REVIEW. SO WE'RE MAKING GOOD PROGRESS ON THAT, AND IT'S MY HOPE THAT VERY SOON THE DEFENSE WILL HAVE 01:51PM 11 01:51PM 12 ACCESS TO A VERY LARGE PORTION OF THOSE DOCUMENTS AND THEN 01:51PM 13 WE'LL PROCEED DILIGENTLY WITH THE REST. AS TO THE NEW INFORMATION, I DID INFORM COUNSEL FOR 01:51PM 14 01:52PM 15 MS. HOLMES BEFORE THE HEARING THAT WE RECENTLY OBTAINED VOLUNTARILY FROM A WITNESS A HARD DRIVE CONTAINING 01:52PM 16 01:52PM 17 APPROXIMATELY FOUR TERABYTES OF ADDITIONAL INFORMATION. I 01:52PM 18 UNDERSTAND THAT MOST OF THAT VOLUME COMES FROM PROMOTIONAL FILM 01:52PM 19 AND VIDEO CREATED BY THERANOS ITSELF WHEN THE COMPANY WAS 01:52PM 20 OPERATIONAL. SO I THINK THE LARGE AMOUNT MIGHT BE SOMEWHAT 01:52PM 21 MISLEADING BECAUSE THESE ARE NOT JUST PAGES OF SOLID DOCUMENTS. 01:52PM 22 I THINK A LOT OF THAT DATA IS MADE UP BY VIDEO FILES. THE COURT: I SEE. THAT'S HELPFUL. 01:52PM 23 01:52PM 24 MR. SCHENK? MR. SCHENK: YES, YOUR HONOR. ON THE ISSUE OF 01:52PM 25

1 01:52PM 2 01:52PM 3 01:52PM 01:52PM 4 01:52PM 01:52PM 6 01:52PM 7 01:53PM 8 01:53PM 9 01:53PM 10 01:53PM 11 01:53PM 12 01:53PM 13 01:53PM 14 01:53PM 15 01:53PM 16 01:53PM 17 01:53PM 18 01:53PM 19 01:53PM 20 01:53PM 21 01:53PM 22 01:53PM 23 01:53PM 24 01:54PM 25

SETTING A TRIAL DATE, WE DO THINK IT'S APPROPRIATE TO SET A
TRIAL DATE. WE'RE NOT ASKING THE COURT TO SET A TRIAL DATE IN
THREE MONTHS OR SIX MONTHS, BUT IT DOES HELP CRYSTALIZE MANY OF
THE ISSUES BEFORE THE COURT AND BEFORE THE PARTIES TO HAVE THAT
TRIAL DATE.

WE ALSO THINK THAT THE TRIAL WILL BE LENGTHY AND TO

RESERVE THE BLOCK OF TIME ON THE COURT'S CALENDAR AND ON ALL OF

THE PARTIES' CALENDAR AND SO THAT WE CAN SEND TRIAL SUBPOENAS

TO WITNESSES TO BEGIN TO BLOCK OUT TIME. IT'S HELPFUL TO HAVE

A TRIAL DATE.

WE THINK THAT TO NOT MEASURE BY COURT WEEKS BUT RATHER

TRIAL DAYS, OUR ESTIMATE AT THIS POINT WOULD BE ABOUT 40 TRIAL

DAYS THOUGH ACKNOWLEDGING WE HOPE THAT WE'RE ABLE TO REACH SOME

STIPULATIONS WITH THE DEFENSE TO ALLEVIATE CUSTODIAL WITNESSES,

AND OBVIOUSLY AS THE TRIAL WOULD GET CLOSER, WE WOULD BE ABLE

TO PROVIDE A MORE ACCURATE OR A BETTER ESTIMATE.

BUT AT THIS POINT, YOU KNOW, THAT'S ROUGHLY TWO MONTHS

GOING EVERY COURT DAY SO IT'S PROBABLY GOING TO GO A LITTLE BIT

LONGER THAN THAT AND TO GET TWO MONTHS ON THE COURT'S CALENDAR

IS NOT SOMETHING THAT WE CAN DO ON SHORT NOTICE.

SO WE WOULD ASK THE COURT TO THINK ABOUT SETTING US FOR TRIAL EVEN A YEAR FROM NOW. THE GOVERNMENT'S REQUEST WOULD BE TO SET THE TRIAL DATE.

WE ACKNOWLEDGE THE POINTS THAT THE DEFENSE HAS MADE TO THE COURT ABOUT THE VOLUMINOUS DISCOVERY AND THEIR NEED TO REVIEW

01:54PM	1	THAT DISCOVERY. A YEAR FROM NOW, THOUGH, WE THINK WOULD
01:54PM	2	ACCOMPLISH THAT. AND WE SHOULD ALSO NOTE THAT A LOT OF THE
01:54PM	3	DISCOVERY PRODUCTION IN THIS CASE IS AN EXERCISE IN PROVIDING
01:54PM	4	THERANOS DOCUMENTS BACK TO THE DEFENSE. NOW IT'S INDIVIDUAL
01:54PM	5	DEFENDANTS, NOT THE CORPORATION. THERE'S BEEN A LOT OF
01:54PM	6	LITIGATION THAT HAS PREDATED THE CRIMINAL CASE.
01:54PM	7	SO THEY'VE RECEIVED SOME OF THESE DOCUMENTS AND NOW TWO OR
01:54PM	8	THREE TIMES FROM US, BUT WE ACKNOWLEDGE THEIR NEED TO
01:54PM	9	EFFECTIVELY PREPARE BY REVIEWING THESE DOCUMENTS. THEY HAVE
01:54PM	10	NOT WALKED IN AND ASKED FOR A SPEEDY TRIAL BECAUSE OF THE
01:54PM	11	VOLUMINOUS NATURE OF THE DISCOVERY.
01:54PM	12	ALTHOUGH IT IS OUR VIEW THAT SETTING A TRIAL DATE NOW IS
01:54PM	13	IMPORTANT TO CRYSTALLIZE THOSE ISSUES AND TO BLOCK THAT TIME
01:54PM	14	OUT ON THE COURT'S CALENDAR.
01:54PM	15	AGAIN, EVEN IF IT'S A YEAR FROM NOW WHEN THE COURT HAS THE
01:54PM	16	TIME TO GIVE US THOSE TWO-PLUS MONTHS, WE WOULD APPRECIATE IT.
01:54PM	17	THE COURT: OKAY.
01:54PM	18	MR. DOWNEY: YOUR HONOR
01:54PM	19	THE COURT: WELL, LOOKING AHEAD I KNOW YOU CAN'T
01:55PM	20	TELL ME TODAY, COUNSEL, YOU CAN'T TELL ME TODAY WHAT YOUR
01:55PM	21	THE TIME YOU NEED FOR YOUR DEFENSE.
01:55PM	22	MR. DOWNEY: NO.
01:55PM	23	THE COURT: BUT MY SENSE IS THAT IT MIGHT MIRROR
01:55PM	24	THIS AS WELL AND PERHAPS BE LONGER.
01:55PM	25	MR. COOPERSMITH: YES, YOUR HONOR.

MR. DOWNEY: THAT'S OUR SENSE, YOUR HONOR, FROM WHAT 1 01:55PM THE GOVERNMENT HAS SAID TO US. 2 01:55PM THE COURT: SO RECOGNIZING THE BUSINESS OF FEDERAL 3 01:55PM 01:55PM 4 DISTRICT COURTS IN THIS -- IN THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, AND PARTICULARLY THE SAN JOSE DIVISION, IF I CAN 01:55PM SAY THAT, WE'RE BUSY. 01:55PM 6 01:55PM 7 MR. DOWNEY: I SEE. THE COURT: IT WOULD MAKE SENSE FOR MY CALENDAR, AND 01:55PM 8 MY COLLEAGUES AS WELL, TO BLOCK OUT SOME TIME, EXPECTATION SO 01:55PM 9 01:55PM 10 THAT WE CAN PROPERLY ADJUST OUR CALENDAR FOR THE OTHER CASES 01:55PM 11 THAT WE HAVE NEED TO TAKE CARE OF. 01:56PM 12 IS THAT SOMETHING THAT WE CAN DO TODAY? 01:56PM 13 MR. DOWNEY: YOUR HONOR, CAN I MAKE A SUGGESTION? 01:56PM 14 EVERYTHING THAT MR. SCHENK SAYS IS SENSIBLE. THIS IS GOING TO 01:56PM 15 BE A LONG TRIAL, AND IT'S GOING TO REQUIRE A LOT OF COORDINATION, AND I THINK A SIGNIFICANT ADVANCE NOTICE IS 01:56PM 16 01:56PM 17 APPROPRIATE. 01:56PM 18 I REALLY DO THINK WHEN THE PROCESSES I'VE IDENTIFIED HAVE 01:56PM 19 HAD THE OPPORTUNITY TO PLAY OUT, WE'LL BE IN A BETTER POSITION 01:56PM 20 TO SAY WHETHER THAT'S NINE MONTHS FROM JULY, WHICH WOULD STILL 01:56PM 21 BE A YEAR FROM TODAY, OR WHETHER IT'S A YEAR FROM JULY. I 01:56PM 22 THINK THE WORST POSSIBLE RESULT, WHICH WE ALL AGREE ON AND 01:56PM 23 WHICH I'M SURE THE COURT AGREES ON, IS GETTING CLOSER TO A 01:56PM 24 TRIAL AND UNEXPECTED EVENTS THAT CHANGE THE TRIAL DATE. 01:56PM 25 SO I WILL COMMIT TO THE COURT THAT WE WILL TRY TO GIVE A

1 01:56PM 2 01:56PM 3 01:56PM 01:56PM 4 01:57PM 01:57PM 01:57PM 8 01:57PM 01:57PM 9 01:57PM 10 01:57PM 11 01:57PM 12 01:57PM 13 01:57PM 14 01:57PM 15 01:57PM 16 01:57PM 17 01:57PM 18 01:57PM 19 01:57PM 20 01:57PM 21 01:57PM 22 01:58PM 23 01:58PM 24 01:58PM 25

RELIABLE TRIAL DATE AS I'M SURE THE GOVERNMENT WILL WHEN WE PROPOSE ONE. BUT MY SUGGESTION WOULD BE THAT WE CONFER IN ADVANCE OF A JULY CONFERENCE, COME UP WITH A PROPOSED SCHEDULE, ASSUMING THE ISSUES THAT WE'VE IDENTIFIED ARE RESOLVED AND KNOWABLE AT THAT POINT, AND COME BACK AT THAT TIME AND TRY TO IDENTIFY WHAT THE APPROPRIATE LENGTH OF TIME IS AND WHAT THE APPROPRIATE PRECISE SCHEDULING IS SO THAT WHEN THE COURT SCHEDULES THOSE DATES IT CAN HAVE CONFIDENCE IN THEM.

MR. COOPERSMITH: YOUR HONOR, IF I COULD ADD ONE THING. SO THE GOVERNMENT ON THE CRIMINAL SIDE HERE HAS BEEN INVESTIGATING THIS CASE SINCE SOMETHING LIKE EARLY 2016 AND HAS -- IT'S NOW, YOU KNOW, SINCE THE INDICTMENT WAS IN JUNE OR MID-JUNE OF 2018 AND WE JUST RECEIVED 3 MILLION PAGES OF DOCUMENTS, YOU KNOW, IN THE MIDDLE OF MARCH. SO JUST VERY RECENTLY.

SO IT IS OBVIOUSLY VERY DIFFICULT TO REVIEW ALL OF THESE DOCUMENTS, BUT THE THING I WANTED TO SAY IN PARTICULAR THAT HASN'T BEEN MENTIONED YET IS THAT THE GOVERNMENT HAS SAID THAT IT'S CONTINUING TO INVESTIGATE THIS CASE. IT'S CONTINUING TO USE THE GRAND JURY, IT'S CONTINUING TO DO THAT.

IF WE SET THE STATUS HEARING IN JULY AS SUGGESTED IN THE STATUS REPORT AND WE ALL COME BACK AND AT THAT POINT WE'RE ALL PREPARED TO TALK ABOUT A TRIAL DATE, I'M HOPING THAT THE GOVERNMENT WILL BE IN A POSITION TO SAY WHETHER THE CASE AS CHARGED NOW IS WHAT THE CASE IS OR WHETHER IT'S GOING TO BE

01:58PM 1 SOMETHING DIFFERENT.

2

3

4

8

01:58PM

01:58PM

01:58PM

01:58PM

01:58PM

01:58PM 6

01:58PM 7

01:58PM 9

01:58PM 10

01:58PM 11

01:58PM 12

01:58PM 13

01:58PM 14

01:58PM 15

01:58PM 16

01:58PM 17

01:59PM 18

01:59PM 19

01:59PM 20

01:59PM 21

01:59PM 22

01:59PM 23

01:59PM 24

YOU KNOW, CERTAINLY BY JULY THEY OUGHT TO BE ABLE TO DO THAT IF THEY'RE NOT PREPARED TO DO THAT TODAY.

THE COURT: IT SOUNDS LIKE A REQUEST.

MR. SCHENK: YOUR HONOR, WE APPRECIATE THE REQUEST.

WE RESPECTFULLY ARE GOING TO DECLINE TO TAKE MR. COOPERSMITH UP

ON THAT REQUEST.

IF HIS CONCERN IS BEING SHORT SET; THAT IS, GOING TO TRIAL ON AN INDICTMENT THAT WAS NOT THE INDICTMENT THAT HE WAS EXPECTING TO GO TO TRIAL ON, THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT 3161 DEALS WITH THAT SCENARIO. THERE IS LAW ON THAT SUBJECT.

IF IT ISN'T THAT AND RATHER IT'S JUST TELL US IF YOU'RE
STILL INVESTIGATING US AND IF YOU'RE LIKELY TO FACE MORE
CRIMINAL CHARGES, THAT'S SOMETHING THAT WE DON'T DO AND UNLESS
THE COURT WOULD ORDER US TO WE WOULD ASK TO BE HEARD ON THE
ISSUE. WE'RE NOT INCLINED TO REVEAL ONGOING INVESTIGATIONS.

THE COURT: PERHAPS THAT WAS AN ASPIRATIONAL

STATEMENT. WELL, LET'S -- WHY DON'T WE -- IT SEEMS TO ME THAT

I'D LIKE TO GET SOME CLOSURE ON CAPTURING A SERIES OF -- IT

SOUNDS LIKE WE'RE GOING TO CAPTURE A SERIES OF MONTHS THAT THE

TRIAL WILL BE IN, AND OF COURSE WE'LL HAVE TO TIME QUALIFY, ET

CETERA, BUT I WOULD LIKE TO CAPTURE SOME TIME CERTAIN IF WE CAN

SO THAT WE CAN RESERVE THAT TIME FOR ALL OF YOU FOR THE WORK

THAT YOU NEED TO DO.

PERHAPS WE CAN BEST DO THAT IN JULY, AND I'M LOOKING AT

01:59PM	1	OUR CALENDAR THINKING JULY 1ST OR JULY 8TH.
01:59PM	2	DO YOU HAVE A PREFERENCE, ADRIANA?
01:59PM	3	THE CLERK: FOR FURTHER STATUS, YOUR HONOR?
01:59PM	4	THE COURT: YES.
01:59PM	5	THE CLERK: JULY 1ST IS A BETTER DATE FOR THE COURT.
01:59PM	6	MR. DOWNEY: THAT'S FINE FOR MS. HOLMES.
01:59PM	7	THE COURT: ANY OBJECTION TO JULY 1ST?
02:00PM	8	MR. COOPERSMITH: NO, YOUR HONOR.
02:00PM	9	MR. BOSTIC: THAT'S FINE FOR THE GOVERNMENT.
02:00PM	10	MR. SCHENK: NO.
02:00PM	11	THE COURT: I HEAR NONE. THANK YOU. THAT WILL
02:00PM	12	ALLOW US ALSO TO HEAR THE JUNE 10TH MOTION SO WE'LL HAVE SOME
02:00PM	13	RESOLUTION ON THE JUNE 10TH MOTION WHICH MAY BE HELPFUL, AND
02:00PM	14	DEPENDING ON YOUR DISCUSSIONS ABOUT THIS DISCOVERY ISSUE,
02:00PM	15	WHATEVER REMAINS MIGHT MAKE THAT MOTION LESS INTENSIVE AS IT
02:00PM	16	PRESENTS NOW. OF COURSE, I ONLY HAVE ONE SIDE OF IT.
02:00PM	17	ALL RIGHT. LET'S CONTINUE THESE CASES. ANYTHING FROM THE
02:00PM	18	S.E.C. THAT I SHOULD KNOW ABOUT?
02:00PM	19	MS. LAMARCA: YES, YOUR HONOR. THIS IS MORE IN THE
02:00PM	20	NATURE OF HOUSEKEEPING BUT CURRENTLY THE COURT HAS A MAY 30TH
02:00PM	21	DATE FOR SETTING A TRIAL IN THIS CASE AND THE LAST TIME THAT WE
02:00PM	22	MET THE S.E.C. HAD AGREED, AT THE DEFENDANT'S REQUEST, TO ALLOW
02:00PM	23	FOR A POTENTIAL EXTENSION OF OUR DISCOVERY CUTOFF.
02:01PM	24	THE COURT: RIGHT.
02:01PM	25	MS. LAMARCA: I GET THAT THIS MAY CHANGE GIVEN THE

1 02:01PM 2 02:01PM 3 02:01PM 02:01PM 4 02:01PM 02:01PM 02:01PM 7 8 02:01PM 02:01PM 9 02:01PM 10 02:01PM 11 02:01PM 12 02:01PM 13 02:01PM 14 02:01PM 15 02:01PM 16 02:01PM 17 02:02PM 18 02:02PM 19 02:02PM 20 02:02PM 21 02:02PM 22 02:02PM 23 02:02PM 24 02:02PM 25

STAY MOTION, ET CETERA, AND WE'RE WILLING TO DEFER THAT ISSUE
UNTIL THE FUTURE, BUT I DO THINK THAT SINCE THE MOTION HAS BEEN
SET FOR JUNE 10TH, WE AT LEAST NEED TO TAKE CARE OF THIS MAY
30TH DATE IN THE INTERIM.

MR. COOPERSMITH: THAT MAKES SENSE, YOUR HONOR, THAT WE MOVE THE MAY 30TH. I AGREE WITH MS. LAMARCA.

REGARDING THE STAY MOTION, AS THE COURT ALREADY SAID THAT WILL BE HEARD ON JUNE 10TH, AND WE'LL RESPOND, AND I'M SURE THE GOVERNMENT WILL SUBMIT A REPLY BRIEF.

BUT I THINK THAT THE REQUEST FOR A CONTINUANCE OR AN EXTENSION OF DISCOVERY SHOULD BE RULED AND DECIDED ON TODAY, AND THERE'S A FEW REASONS FOR THAT.

FIRST OF ALL, THE S.E.C. AND THE DEFENSE FOR MR. BALWANI
AGREE THAT THERE SHOULD AN EXTENSION OF DISCOVERY. WE DISAGREE
ABOUT EXACTLY WHAT THE LENGTH SHOULD BE. THEY SAID UP TO 3
MONTHS, AND WE THINK THE MAGNITUDE OF THIS CASE AND THE VOLUME
OF DOCUMENTS WARRANT AN EXTENSION OF 12 MONTHS, BUT WE AGREE ON
AN EXTENSION.

SO IF THAT CAN BE DECIDED UPON, THAT WAY WHAT WILL HAPPEN IS THAT IF THE COURT DENIES THE GOVERNMENT'S MOTION TO STAY, WE'LL BE IN A POSITION TO CONTINUE TO TAKE DISCOVERY AND ALSO RIGHT NOW WE'LL BE ABLE TO GET THINGS ON THE CALENDAR, BECAUSE AS I SAID THE LAST TIME I WAS BEFORE THE COURT, SOME OF THESE WITNESSES ARE BILLIONAIRES AND OTHERS OF THAT ILK WHO MAKE IT VERY DIFFICULT TO SCHEDULE, AND WE TRY TO BE COURTEOUS IN

02:02PM	1	SCHEDULING TIME FOR THAT THOSE PEOPLE.
02:02PM	2	THE COURT: THEY HAVEN'T MET THE UNITED STATES
02:02PM	3	MARSHAL.
02:02PM	4	MR. COOPERSMITH: IF IT CAME TO THAT I SUPPOSE THEY
02:02PM	5	COULD, BUT WE DON'T WANT TO HAVE THAT SITUATION IF WE CAN AVOID
02:02PM	6	IT.
02:02PM	7	(LAUGHTER.)
02:02PM	8	MR. COOPERSMITH: BUT, YOUR HONOR, IF WE AGREE ON
02:02PM	9	THE EXTENSION OR THE COURT RULES ON AN EXTENSION TODAY,
02:02PM	10	OBVIOUSLY IF ON JUNE 10TH THE COURT DOES STAY THE CASE, OVER
02:02PM	11	OUR OPPOSITION, THEN THAT WILL BE THE END OF DISCOVERY UNTIL
02:02PM	12	SOME OTHER POINT IN TIME.
02:02PM	13	THE COURT: I APPRECIATE THAT. I THINK A YEAR STAY
02:02PM	14	IS A LITTLE THAT'S JUST A BRIDGE TOO FAR TODAY FOR ME TO
02:03PM	15	MAKE.
02:03PM	16	ANYTHING FURTHER ON THAT?
02:03PM	17	AND PERHAPS I DON'T MEAN TO SUGGEST THAT DISCOVERY
02:03PM	18	SHOULD BE INCREMENTAL AT ALL. I'D LIKE TO GIVE YOU FULL
02:03PM	19	LATITUDE OF DISCOVERY THAT YOU NEED, BUT I DO THINK THAT IN ALL
02:03PM	20	CANDOR A YEAR IS A BIT MUCH, AND I WAS LOOKING AT 90 DAYS AND
02:03PM	21	PERHAPS WE CAN START WITH THAT AND SEE WHERE WE GO.
02:03PM	22	EVERYTHING IS KIND OF FLUID HERE IN ANY EVENT.
02:03PM	23	MR. COOPERSMITH: I UNDERSTAND WHAT THE COURT IS
02:03PM	24	SAYING OF COURSE. THE PROBLEM IS, YOUR HONOR, IF WE ONLY HAVE
02:03PM	25	A THREE-MONTH EXTENSION, WE'RE NOW GOING TO BE IN THE SUMMER

02:03PM	1	TOWARDS THE END OF THAT PERIOD. IT'S GOING TO BE VERY
02:03PM	2	DIFFICULT TO SCHEDULE THINGS FOR SOME WITNESSES,
02:03PM	3	NOTWITHSTANDING THE POWER OF THE UNITED STATES MARSHALS, YOUR
02:03PM	4	HONOR, AND
02:03PM	5	THE COURT: YOU UNDERESTIMATE THEM.
02:03PM	6	MR. COOPERSMITH: NO, I DON'T. I WAS A FEDERAL
02:03PM	7	PROSECUTOR, AND I HAVE TOTAL FAITH IN THE PARTIALS, BUT I DON'T
02:03PM	8	THINK THAT'S WHERE WE REALLY WANT TO BE.
02:03PM	9	THE COURT: SURE. I APPRECIATE THAT.
02:03PM	10	MR. COOPERSMITH: SO THE PROBLEM IS IN SOME CASES
02:04PM	11	WE'RE ALREADY TALKING TO WITNESSES, ASSUMING THERE WAS ANY
02:04PM	12	EXTENSION, OF SCHEDULING THINGS IN JULY, AND THAT'S THREE
02:04PM	13	MONTHS FROM NOW.
02:04PM	14	SO I THINK IT'S GOING TO MAKE IT VERY DIFFICULT FOR
02:04PM	15	SCHEDULING PURPOSES IF WE HAVE ONLY A THREE MONTH EXTENSION
02:04PM	16	GIVEN THAT WILL BE RIGHT AT THE SUMMER PERIOD AT THAT POINT.
02:04PM	17	SO IF THE COURT IS NOT WILLING TO GRANT A 12-MONTH
02:04PM	18	EXTENSION, OBVIOUSLY THAT'S WHAT WE REQUESTED, SOME PERIOD OF
02:04PM	19	TIME BETWEEN THE 3 MONTHS THE S.E.C. SAID AND THE 12 MONTHS
02:04PM	20	WE'RE SAYING WOULD MAKE SENSE.
02:04PM	21	AGAIN, YOU KNOW, THE STAY MOTION WON'T AFFECT IT BECAUSE
02:04PM	22	THE COURT CAN RULE ON JUNE 10TH ONE WAY OR THE OTHER.
02:04PM	23	MS. LAMARCA: YOUR HONOR, WE OPPOSE A LONGER
02:04PM	24	EXTENSION FOR THE REASONS THAT WE SET FORTH IN THE JOINT CMC
02:04PM	25	STATEMENT. I THINK ONE THING THAT WE HAVE NOT SEEN IN THIS

CASE IS ANYTHING OTHER THAN ARGUMENTS ABOUT THINGS LIKE

DISCOVERY, AND IT IS, NO DOUBT, DIFFICULT TO GET SOME WITNESSES

TO AGREE TO CERTAIN DATES, BUT WE DO IT ALL OF THE TIME.

THAT'S WHAT WE DO.

AND IN OUR CASES A THREE MONTH EXTENSION USUALLY WORKS FOR

EVERYONE. SO I CAN'T IMAGINE IF THIS IS SO DIFFERENT THAT IT

REALLY REQUIRES THAT WE DEPART FROM THE NORMS IN ALL OF OUR

MR. COOPERSMITH: YOUR HONOR, ONE OTHER THING ABOUT THAT. AS WE SAID IN THE STATUS REPORT, EVERY STEP OF THE WAY WE HAVE RUN INTO NOTHING BUT OBSTACLES WITH SCHEDULING WITNESSES FOR DEPOSITIONS, FOR DOCUMENTS. EVEN WHEN IT COMES TO THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT ITSELF, WE ISSUED SUBPOENAS TO CMS AND FDA, AS I SAID BEFORE, IN SEPTEMBER OF 2018, AND WE'VE MET AND CONFERRED FOR MONTHS, AND MONTHS, AND MONTHS AND NOW WE ARE TRYING TO FRAME THE ISSUES BEFORE THE COURT IF WE HAVE TO GO TO THE MOTION.

CASES. WE WOULD OPPOSE ANYTHING LONGER THAN THREE MONTHS.

IT'S ONE THING AFTER ANOTHER. I CAN TELL YOU THESE THIRD PARTIES, THESE BILLIONAIRES AND OTHERS, NOT SURPRISINGLY, THEY REALLY DON'T WANT TO HAVE ANYTHING TO DO WITH THIS CASE. THEY HAVE BIG LAW FIRMS REPRESENTING THEM. IT'S NOT THAT WE'RE POWERLESS, YOUR HONOR. WE UNDERSTAND WE CAN PUT OUR FOOT DOWN. WE HAVE IN SOME INSTANCES. IT'S VERY DIFFICULT, AND AS WE HAVE SAID, WE HAVE RUN INTO NOTHING BUT OBSTACLES.

IN ADDITION, AS WE SAID IN THE STATUS REPORT, WE LEARNED

02:06PM 25

1 02:06PM 2 02:06PM 3 02:06PM 02:06PM 4 02:06PM 02:06PM 02:06PM 7 8 02:06PM 02:06PM 9 02:06PM 10 02:06PM 11 02:06PM 12 02:06PM 13 02:06PM 14 02:06PM 15 02:06PM 16 02:06PM 17 02:06PM 18 02:06PM 19 02:07PM 20 02:07PM 21 02:07PM 22 02:07PM 23 02:07PM 24 02:07PM 25

THAT THE S.E.C. HAD DOCUMENTS FROM SOME AGENCIES FOR A COUPLE OF YEARS, AND, YOU KNOW, HAD NOT PRODUCED THEM INITIALLY AND THEN WE FINALLY DID GET THEM.

I'VE WRITTEN THREE LETTERS, YOUR HONOR, TO COUNSEL FOR THE S.E.C. SAYING CAN YOU PLEASE CONFIRM THAT YOU HAVE EVERY DOCUMENT THAT YOU HAVE FROM THIRD PARTIES AND THAT'S DISCOVERABLE, AND I HAVE NEVER GOTTEN A RESPONSE TO ANY OF THE PARTIES ON THAT POINT.

I UNDERSTAND COUNSEL FOR THE S.E.C. IS BUSY AND THEY HAVE
A LOT OF CASES, BUT THE POSTURE OF THIS CASE RIGHT NOW, I
THINK, FULLY WARRANTS A LONGER EXTENSION THAN THE THREE MONTHS,
AND I THINK THAT WILL MAKE DISCOVERY EFFICIENT.

AGAIN, THE COURT CAN RULE ON THE OTHER ISSUE ON JUNE 10TH.

MS. LAMARCA: WE DON'T HAVE ANYTHING FURTHER. WE
DISAGREE WITH COUNSEL'S REPRESENTATIONS ABOUT DISCOVERY IN THIS
CASE SO FAR.

THE COURT: OKAY. THANK YOU. ANYONE ELSE WISH TO BE HEARD ON THIS? ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

WELL, I APPRECIATE YOUR RECOGNIZING ASPIRATIONAL GOALS BUT A YEAR, THAT'S A BRIDGE TOO FAR, AS I'VE SAID. SO 90 DAYS SOUNDS REASONABLE TO ME, BUT I'LL MAKE IT 120 DAYS. I KNOW THAT'S NOT EXACTLY WHAT YOU WANT, BUT WE'LL MAKE IT 120 DAYS AND THEN WE'LL HOPEFULLY -- WELL, WE'LL SEE EACH OTHER JULY 1ST, AND BY THEN WE'LL HAVE SOME KNOWLEDGE AS TO THE JUNE 10TH MOTION AS WELL AND THAT WILL BE HELPFUL AS WELL.

02:07PM	1	MR. COOPERSMITH: JULY 1ST, YOUR HONOR?
02:07PM	2	THE COURT: YES. JULY 1ST WILL BE OUR NEXT STATUS
02:07PM	3	CONFERENCE, JULY 1ST, JULY 1ST, AND THAT WILL BE AT 1:30. I
02:07PM	4	WILL EXCLUDE TIME AS TO EACH DEFENDANT IN EACH CASE TO ALLOW
02:07PM	5	FOR EFFECTIVE REPRESENTATION, AND THERE'S OTHER TERABYTES AND
02:07PM	6	OTHER DISCOVERY THAT YOU HAVE TALKED ABOUT TODAY THAT COUNSEL
02:07PM	7	HAS INDICATED THEY NEED TO REVIEW.
02:07PM	8	ANY OBJECTION TO THE COURT EXCLUDING TIME ON THAT BASIS?
02:07PM	9	MR. DOWNEY: NO OBJECTION FOR MS. HOLMES.
02:08PM	10	MR. COOPERSMITH: NO OBJECTION FOR MR. BALWANI.
02:08PM	11	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. TIME IS EXCLUDED, AND WE'LL
02:08PM	12	SEE EVERYONE BACK ON THAT DATE.
02:08PM	13	ANYTHING FURTHER BEFORE WE END TODAY?
02:08PM	14	MR. COOPERSMITH: ONE QUICK THING, YOUR HONOR.
02:08PM	15	THE COURT: YES.
02:08PM	16	MR. COOPERSMITH: UNTIL THE JUNE 10TH MOTION IS
02:08PM	17	HEARD AND THE COURT RULES ON THAT, THERE'S NO STAY IN EFFECT?
02:08PM	18	THE COURT: YES, THAT'S CORRECT. THANK YOU VERY
02:08PM	19	MUCH. WE'LL SEE YOU SOON.
	20	(COURT CONCLUDED AT 2:08 P.M.)
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER I, THE UNDERSIGNED OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, 280 SOUTH FIRST STREET, SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA, DO HEREBY CERTIFY: THAT THE FOREGOING TRANSCRIPT, CERTIFICATE INCLUSIVE, IS A CORRECT TRANSCRIPT FROM THE RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS IN THE ABOVE-ENTITLED MATTER. IRENE RODRIGUEZ, CSR, RMR, CRR CERTIFICATE NUMBER 8074 DATED: APRIL 25, 2019